# HISTORICAL LYNCHINGS AND THE CONTEMPORARY VOTING BEHAVIOR OF BLACKS

Jhacova Williams\*

#### Abstract

This paper analyzes the extent to which the political participation of blacks can be traced to historical lynchings that took place from 1882 to 1930. Using county-level voter registration data, I show that blacks who reside in southern counties that experienced a relatively higher number of historical lynchings have lower voter registration rates today. This relationship holds after accounting for a variety of historical and contemporary characteristics of counties. There exists evidence of the persistence of cultural voting norms among blacks yet this relationship does not exist for whites or other minorities. (*JEL* N90, J15, Z10)

<sup>\*</sup>Economic Policy Institute, 1225 I Street NW, Washington, DC 20005 (email: jhawilli@gmail.com). Many thanks to Naci Mocan, Louis-Philippe Beland, Chris Foote, Falk Brauning, Osborne Jackson, Geoffrey Tootell, Trevon Logan, Lisa Cook, Robert Margo, Ebonya Washington, Ross Hallren, Tamara Gurevich, Gary Hoover, Gregory Price, Breyon Williams, and seminar participants of the Southern Economic Association Conference in Washington D.C., the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, the United States International Trade Commission, and the CSMGEP Dissertation Session for useful comments and discussions.

"A lynching is much more than just a murder. A murder may occur in private. A lynching is a public spectacle; it demands an audience...A lynching is a majority's way of telling a minority population that the law cannot protect it."

- Aatish Taseer, Anatomy of a Lynching (2017)

#### I Introduction

Political participation is one of the most fundamental ways in which citizens participate in the democratic process. In theory, it allows each citizen an equal voice in American politics and thereby an equal voice in American public policy. Yet more than fifty years following the enactment of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, the political participation of blacks remains lower than that of whites in many elections in the United States.<sup>1</sup> Considering that blacks are underrepresented in political participation, thereby causing their interests to be underrepresented in American public policy, examining explanations for low political participation among blacks can be used to inform policy.<sup>2</sup>

In this paper, I propose an explanation that explores a setting that experienced violent racist acts in the past - the American South. Specifically, I ask whether historical racial animus continues to influence the voting behavior of blacks. Using historical lynchings, a general indicator of the extent to which a county was able to inflict violence on blacks (Jones, Troesken & Walsh 2017) to proxy racial animus, I test whether there exists a link between historical lynchings and the contemporary political participation of blacks.<sup>3</sup> Considering that historical lynchings were mechanisms for social control that discouraged a variety of activities among blacks including voting (Cook, Logan & Parman 2018, Dickerson 2003) recent findings of habit-formation and norm-based voting (DellaVigna, List, Malmendier & Rao 2016, Fujiwara, Meng & Vogl 2016, Gerber, Green & Shachar 2003) and the strong correlation between parent and child's voting propensity (Akee, Copeland, Costello, Holbein & Simeonova 2018), it is plausible that past events continue to predict the political

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Voting Rights Act of 1965 prohibited voter-discrimination schemes that prevented blacks from participating politically (Christopher 1965).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Miller (2015) states that politicians who depart from citizens' interests are more likely to be replaced. Since the black population is not large enough to control legislative bodies in any state, their "policy preferences become only public only if supported by a coalition of black and white representatives (Bullock III & MacManus 1981)."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Recent findings have argued that violence in political settings can create mistrust in the government which may cause individuals to avoid the political process (Blanco 2013, Jones et al. 2017). When viewed as a general indicator of violence, Jones et al. (2017) propose that lynchings would have a "*persistent and lasting effect on voter turnout*".

participation of blacks today.<sup>4</sup>

To investigate whether historical racial animus continues to influence the political participation of blacks, I combine county-level lynching data with contemporary voter registration data. After accounting for a variety of historical characteristics of counties, the results show that blacks who reside in counties that were exposed to a relatively higher number of lynchings from 1882 to 1930 have lower voter registration rates today.

A number of falsification exercises show the robustness of this basic correlation in that lynchings are not related to the voting behavior of whites. Motivated by the possibility that slavery left behind anti-black institutions that reduce the political participation of blacks today (Acharya, Blackwell & Sen 2015), I include an additional specification that accounts for an area's historical prevalence of slavery. Additionally, this negative relationship may be due to contemporary measures such as education, earnings, Republican party dominance in southern states, high incarceration rates of blacks, and the paucity of polling places in counties. The results remain virtually unchanged after the inclusion of these potential confounders.

While the goal of this paper is to estimate the long-run association of the voting behavior of blacks and a proxy for racial animus in the past, it is impossible to distinguish whether this is due to the persistence of cultural voting norms that have been transmitted to subsequent generations or the persistence of discriminatory acts that prevent blacks from voting today. However, the analysis to follow will test several likely mechanisms and finds evidence of the transmission of cultural voting norms among blacks.

The first mechanism I examine is whether the relationship between historical lynchings and the voting behavior of blacks is due to geographic sorting. For example, the Great Migration may have caused blacks with higher voting propensities to migrate away from violent southern areas while blacks who were less likely to participate in voting remained. Using data from the 1940 100% IPUMS-USA, I examine whether black migrants out of southern counties with higher lynching rates differ from individuals who did not migrate from these counties. I find no evidence of geographic sorting as a function of lynching rates which suggests that the relationship between lynchings and voting behavior of blacks is not explained by sorting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>DellaVigna et al. (2016) find that individuals are motivated to vote due to the social image received from family and friends. Gerber et al. (2003) and Fujiwara et al. (2016) show that voting is a habitual act based on previous voting conditions and experiences. Akee et al. (2018) find an intergenerational transmission of voting behavior in that there exists a strong correlation between parents' prior voting propensity and their children's voting propensity in the future.

The next mechanism I examine is whether counties with a relatively higher number of historical lynchings have contemporary barriers that suppress the voting of blacks. For instance, if counties that experienced more historical lynchings also have fewer polling places in areas where blacks live today, then the results may be an artifact of this phenomenon. To understand whether the paucity of polling places in black areas explains the relationship between lynchings and the voting behavior of blacks, I use data on polling locations.<sup>5</sup> I find no evidence that counties with a relatively higher number of historical lynchings have fewer polling places in areas where blacks reside.

The final mechanism I examine is whether lynchings are related to cultural voting norms among blacks. If lynchings discouraged the political participation of blacks in the past, thereby causing blacks to become disinterested in participating, this behavior may have been passed down to future generations. Using data from the Southern Focus Poll, I examine whether lynchings are related to voting norms among blacks. I find that blacks who reside in areas with a relatively higher number of lynchings are less likely to indicate that their parents felt it was important for them to be patriotic. This relationship does not exist for whites or other minorities.

After identifying a potential mechanism for the relationship between historical lynchings and the contemporary political participation of blacks, I examine whether the relationship between lynchings and black political participation can be mitigated. For example, Tate (1991) found that blacks with higher income, more education, and stronger social ties to the black community were more likely to participate in voting. To investigate this, I interact lynching rates with county-level measures of earnings, education, and the black church member rate.<sup>6</sup> The results show that education and earnings do not change the relationship between lynchings and voting. However, the relationship between lynchings and political participation is mitigated by higher rates of black church members.

There are two main contributions of this paper. First, it adds to recent findings in economics by helping us understand how an initial shock that alters behavior can have a persistent impact (Acemoglu, Johnson & Robinson 2012, Acharya, Blackwell & Sen 2016, Nunn & Wantchekon 2011, Voigtländer & Voth 2012). Second, the paper increases our understanding of the determinants of voting by measuring the extent to which violent acts can deter the target group from voting in the future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Polling locations are obtained from the Secretary of State Offices in 2017 and reflect polling place locations in the 2016 Presidential Election.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>The black church member rate is the number of members that attend churches with predominate black congregations per black 10,000 population in 2010.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II provides the historical background and conceptual framework. The data description is given in Section III. The empirical framework, presented in Section IV, is used to motivate the empirical analysis to follow. Section V presents the results. Section VI examines potential mechanisms and Section VI concludes.

#### II Historical Background and Conceptual Framework

#### **Historical Background**

The Reconstruction Act of 1867 forever changed the voting population in the South with Congress requiring Southern conventions to met and adopt new constitutions that included manhood suffrage (DuBois 1935, Foner 1988). With this enactment, more than one million blacks and more than 300,000 illiterate, poor whites were given the right vote (DuBois 1935). Manhood suffrage was supported by Union army commanders who sought to protect any devices that would keep blacks from the polls (DuBois 1935). Also, officials from the Freedmen's Bureau "advised Negros about registration and voting and disabused their minds of fears of taxation or military service or reenslavement" (DuBois 1935). These measures of protection resulted in voter turnout among black men that ranged between 70% and 90% (Kent 2003) and restructured the South. Blacks voted for white Republican politicians who filled seats once held by Democrats as well as black men held political office for the first time. For example, blacks were 61% of the state delegates in Florida (DuBois 1935).

While these elections were the most democratic ever seen in the South (DuBois 1935), some individuals were not pleased by this restructuring. Violent intimidation from the Ku Klux Klan (KKK) in the form of beatings, burnings, and lynchings was used to discourage blacks from voting (DeFina & Hannon 2011). During the Presidential campaign season of 1868, KKK members rode around on horses wearing white hoods and robes threatening blacks that if they did not vote for the Democratic ticket, they would be lynched (Dickerson 2003).<sup>7</sup> In 1868, the KKK killed more than 2,000 blacks in Louisiana, two South Carolina legislators, and the President of the Union League, causing black voter turnout to be reduced

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>In 1871, Congress appointed a committee to investigate allegations of voter intimidation (Tolnay & Beck 1995). "Witnesses repeatedly testified that during the late 1860s a surge of terrorism was directed against politically active blacks." Shaffer Bowens, a Ku Klux Klan member, testified during these hearings that the aims and methods of the Klan was "to advance the conservative party and put down the radical party....By killing, and whipping, and crowding out men from the ballot boxes."

by 20 percent between the 1867 and the 1868 election (Dickerson 2003, Jones et al. 2017).<sup>8</sup> These KKK terrorists' acts helped the south regain Democratic control in the statehouse in 1870 (Dickerson 2003).

While lynchings continued after the 1868 election, lynchings were not restricted to political intimidation. In fact, there exist three theories to explain lynching behavior. The first theory hypothesizes that blacks were lynched because they were seen as an economic threat (Beck & Tolnay 1992, Cook et al. 2018). By lynching blacks, whites vented economic frustration due to inflation or decreases in cotton prices (DeFina & Hannon 2011) and instilled fear in blacks who could compete for jobs (Cook et al. 2018). The second theory hypothesizes that blacks were lynched because they were viewed as a social threat (Price, Darity Jr & Headen Jr 2008, Cook et al. 2018). Cook et al. (2018) state that whites feared losing their social status to blacks and used lynching as a way of maintaining social order. The third theory, Blalock (1967) power threat hypothesis, proposed that violence arouse when the dominant group perceived the subordinate group contested their political authority (Price et al. 2008). Figure 1 supports Blalock (1967) power threat hypothesis in that areas with higher percentages of black registered voters in 1867 also had higher lynching rates during the lynching period.<sup>9</sup>

According to Allen, Als, Lewis & Litwack (2000), blacks were aware of lynchings that took place by the depiction of lynchings in newspapers and on postcards. Figure 2a presents a county-level mapping of the total number of lynchings between 1882 and 1930 and shows that some counties experienced as many as 25 lynchings during this time period with variation across counties and states.<sup>10</sup> Yet, examining the number of lynchings may not accurately depict the "threat of violence" since it does not account for an area's size. As such, Figure 2b presents the total number of lynchings normalized by black population in 1900. The map shows that lynching rates were as high as 159.82 per 10,000 black residents in 1900.

This southern racial climate, along with low voter registration among blacks, continued well into the mid-1900s. For example, in 1940 black registration in eleven southern

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>The Union League was an organization that helped blacks register to vote and was headed by northern Republicans. Additionally, these acts of terror reduced voter turnout in additionally southern states. Jones et al. (2017) find that exposure to lynchings reduced black voter turnout by 2.5 percentage points in the post-Reconstruction south.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>This figure is created using a binned scatter plot which controls for the percentage of black residents (to total residents) in 1860. OLS results can be found in Appendix Table B1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>A map of lynchings is presented for county state pairs that have voting data separated by race namely Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina.

states was estimated to be 151,000, which was approximately 3% of the 5 million southern blacks who were of voting age (Garrow 1978). While some southern states saw increases in black registration in the late 1950s and early 1960s, three states with the majority of voting discrimination lawsuits saw no significant increases in black registration - Alabama, Louisiana, and Mississippi (Garrow 1978). Efforts to prevent voter discrimination lacked the coercive capabilities to guarantee full civil rights, which caused Congress to pass "the most significant civil rights landmark of our time" (Byrne et al. 2005) - the Voting Rights Act (VRA) of 1965.

The VRA prohibited barriers that prevented blacks from registering to vote and resulted in southern states exhibiting increases in black registration after its enactment (Cascio & Washington 2014). Figure 3 shows this fact. The overall voter registration rate among blacks increased in the post-enactment period compared to the pre-enactment period yet despite this increase, lynching rates are negatively associated with black voter registration rates in both periods.<sup>11</sup> This suggests that while the VRA may have eliminated some barriers that prevented blacks from participating politically, the VRA did not eliminate the relationship between racist acts and voter registration among blacks.

Motivated by the negative relationship between lynchings and black voter registration pre- and post-VRA enactment, the analysis to follow examines whether lynchings continue to influence the contemporary voting behavior of blacks.

## **Conceptual Framework**

The foundational model of voting was developed by Downs (1957) where individuals vote when the benefit of voting exceeds the cost.<sup>12</sup> Recent models have expanded Downs (1957) framework of voting costs to include logistical cost and information cost (Ashworth 2007, Charles & Stephens Jr 2013, Matsusaka 1995). The logistical cost of voting is the cost associated with the act of voting (i.e. traveling to the poll, waiting in line, etc.) and the information cost of voting is the cost associated with having limited information regarding

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>In 1968, the United States Commission on Civil Rights published county-level voter registration data by race before and after the enactment of the VRA. Pre-registration data are from 1964 in Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina and from 1962 in Georgia. Post-registration data are from 1967 in Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina, and South Carolina and from 1966 in Florida. The negative relationship remains when the group of outliers are removed from the sample.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Benefit is the probability that an individual's vote will make a difference in the outcome of an election times the utility received from the individual's favorite candidate winning the election, and the payoff an individual receives from exercising his social duty.

a candidate or an election (Charles & Stephens Jr 2013).

Within this framework, the historical lynching environment raised the cost of voting for blacks because gathering information on elections as well as traveling to election polls could lead to death for many blacks. Figure 4 demonstrates that lynchings were powerful messages sent to thousands of blacks that exercising their right to vote would be met with death (Fryer Jr & Levitt 2012).

Considering that cultural beliefs are viewed as decision-making heuristics or "rulesof-thumb" which are optimal when information acquisition is either costly or imperfect (Alesina, Giuliano & Nunn 2013, Nunn & Wantchekon 2011), general beliefs about the "right" action caused blacks to refrain from voting - thus allowing blacks to save on the cost associated with voting.<sup>13</sup> Within this environment, cultural beliefs about voting were beneficial to blacks, thereby lowering their voting behavior patterns, which may have been passed to subsequent generations.

A natural question is why would one expect lower voter participation among blacks to be associated with events the occurred nearly 100 years ago. One explanation can be found in the cultural economics literature, which demonstrates that historic events have long-run impacts by permanently affecting culture or norms of behavior.<sup>14</sup>. For example, Nunn & Wantchekon (2011) showed that a culture of mistrust persisted in individuals whose ancestors were heavily targeted during the slave trade in Africa which continues to affect economic development in Africa over 400 years later. Lowes & Montero (2018) find that greater historical exposure to campaigns that promoted drugs with negative side effects were associated with lower willingness to receive free tests for anemia or HIV. Alsan & Wanamaker (2018) find that disclosure to disclosure of the Tuskegee study of 1972 are associated with increases of medical mistrust for older black men. Mocan & Raschke (2016) analyzed whether a culture of racist and xenophobic feelings persisted in Germany following World War II, and found that people who live in states that provided above-median support for the Nazi Party in the 1928 elections have stronger anti-Semitic feelings today. Similarly, Voigtländer & Voth (2012) reported a strong positive relationship between violent attacks on Jews during the Black Death in 1348 and support for the Nazi Party in 1928, demonstrating a culture of anti-Semitic views that have persisted more than 500 years. Taken together, research in cultural economics has shown that cultural beliefs are sticky and are transmitted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Jones et al. (2017) state that exposure to violence in a political setting may generate fear and discourage voter turnout.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>For a more detailed discussion, see Nunn (2009)

across generations (Alesina et al. 2013). It is plausible that past lynching events may have caused blacks to avoid the voting process altogether, creating a culture of voter apathy, and these voting norms may have persisted by being transmitted to subsequent generations.<sup>15</sup>

Additional evidence of the persistence of voting behavior can be found in the voting literature. Akee et al. (2018) found that there exists an intergenerational transmission of voting behavior in that there exists a strong correlation between parents' prior voting and their children voting in the future. Additionally, research has shown that voting is habit forming in that voting in one election increases an individual's propensity to vote in future elections. Gerber et al. (2003) used a randomized field experiment that randomly assigned individuals to treatment and control groups to isolate the causal role in voting. Individuals in the treatment group were encouraged to vote via mail or via face to face campaigning whereas individuals in the control group were not encouraged to vote. These authors found that this randomized change produced an increase in voting in the upcoming election and increased the likelihood of voting in the future. Fujiwara et al. (2016) also showed that voting is habit-forming by empirically disentangling habit formation in voting from other channels of voter persistence. These authors model rainfall, an unexpected and transitory shock, into the cost of voting and find that rainfall on election day decreases voter turnout in the current and future elections.

While it is plausible that the persistence of voting behavior among blacks exists, it is impossible to distinguish whether lynchings are negatively related to the current political participation among blacks because of the persistence of cultural voting norms or the persistence of discriminatory practices. For instance, areas that were exposed to a higher number of lynchings may have and continue to discriminate against blacks. These practices, in addition to norm based voting, may influence the current voting behavior of blacks. While the analysis below will attempt to examine some of the possible explanations, it is beyond the scope of this paper to fully separate these two mechanisms through which this long-run association exists. Instead, this paper attempts to empirically estimate the long-run association between a proxy for racial animus and the voting behavior of blacks and finds evidence of the persistence of cultural voting norms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Due to the lack of voting data by race during the historical time period, this explanation cannot be tested.

## **III** Data Sources and Description

## Lynching Measure

The lynching data are obtained from the Historical American Lynching Data Collection Project (Project HAL) and include all lynching victims' records in Southern counties from 1882 to 1930.<sup>16</sup> The Project HAL data include lynchings which meet the NAACP definition.<sup>17</sup> For each lynching record, the information includes the victim's name, race, gender, and alleged offense.<sup>18</sup> The dataset also includes the county, state, month, day, and year that the lynching occurred.<sup>19</sup> To construct the lynching measure, the data are restricted to black victims and excludes lynchings carried out by black mobs. The lynching measure represents the number of lynchings of black victims that occurred in a county from 1882 to 1930.

I link the aggregated lynching data with population data from the 1900 Census.<sup>20</sup> The 1900 Census population data are obtained from the National Historical Geographic Information System (NHGIS) and contain county-level measures for the black, white, and total population. The lynching and population data are used to construct the main explanatory variable, black lynching rate, which is the number of black lynchings per 10,000 black population in 1900. A lynching rate is constructed as the main explanatory variable as opposed to the number of lynchings since it more accurately captures the intensity of lynchings or "threat of violence" by accounting for the number of blacks in an area.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Southern counties include counties in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>To be included in the lynching inventory, an incident must meet the following criteria: a) There must be evidence that someone was killed b) The killing must have occurred illegally c) Three or more persons must have taken part in the killing; and d) The killers must have claimed to be serving justice or tradition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Although many of the alleged offenses listed in Project Hal are murder or rape, an 1883 Supreme Court ruling may have influenced what was listed as an alleged offense. This ruling declared it unconstitutional for the federal government to penalize murder or assault and allowed local governments to punish such crimes. The 1883 ruling overturned the 1871 Enforcement Act which granted "the power of the president to use military force to suppress domestic violence that deprived citizens of their rights, privileges, or immunities, or protection named in the Constitution" (Williams 1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Counties that are not listed in Project HAL are assumed to have zero historical lynchings. The results remain when these counties are excluded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Considering that the lynching data spans from 1882 to 1930, the year 1900 is nearly the midpoint of the period and is used to normalize the number of lynchings. The results are robust to using the black population in 1910, 1920, or 1930. See Appendix Table B2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Additionally, previous research used lynching rates rather than the number of lynchings as a measure of violence (Acharya et al. 2016, Cook 2014).

#### **County-Level Voting Measure**

The voter registration data are obtained from the Secretary of State Offices in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina.<sup>22</sup> Ideally, the sample would include all counties in the former Confederate States. However, these are the only states in the former Confederacy, and in the lynching data, in which individuals are asked to identify their race when they register to vote. See Appendix for more information regarding voter registration data from the Secretary of State Offices.

The voter registration data are merged with population data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER) of the National Cancer Institute for the years 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012.<sup>23</sup> The SEER data contain county-level population counts by age and race. To focus on individuals who are of voting age, the data are restricted to population counts for individuals who are 18 or older. The registration and SEER data are used to construct the outcome measure, voter registration rate, as the county-level percentage of black registered voters per black voting age population. Similarly, voter registration rate among whites is measured as the percentage of white registered voters per white voting age population.<sup>24</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>The data for Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, and South Carolina are obtained for the years 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012 due to data availability beginning in many of these states in 2000. Voter registration data in North Carolina are obtained in 2004, 2008, and 2012 since North Carolina does not report race until 2002. The voter registration data in Florida are obtained from its Secretary of State Office in 2016. The method used for extracting voter registration for the years 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012 is explained in the Data Appendix. Additionally, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina report voter turnout separated by race. The analysis to follow focuses on voter registration since it is available during the historical lynching period, the interim period, and the contemporary period. The results hold when voter turnout is used as an outcome variable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>SEER data are used, as opposed to Census data, because population data from SEER can be extracted for Presidential years. SEER data can be broken into postcensal (data after decennial census but before next census) and intercensal (years between two completed decennial censuses) population data. Postcensal population data is estimated by updating resident population in the decennial census using the components of population change approach (births/deaths to U.S. resident women, net international migration, net movement of U.S. Armed forces and civilians, etc.). Intercensal population data is estimated by adjusting the final postcensal estimates for the decade to account for differences between the April 1, base population (from the census at the end of the decade) and the postcensal estimates for April 1 of that census year. See https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr\_02/sr02\_135.pdf or https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/bridged\_race/Documentation-Bridged-PostcenV2018.pdf for more details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>Voter registration rate is more than 100% in some counties. The results to follow use voter registration as is. The results when voter registration rates are top-coded to 100 and when counties with voter registration rates that exceed 100 are removed from the sample can be found in the

#### **Historical County Attributes**

The primary source for historical measures in this study is the NHGIS which provides Census data from 1790 to the present. Proxies for historical institutional quality include the newspaper rate in 1840 and the year in which a county was formed (Grosjean 2014).<sup>25</sup> To capture historical economic indicators, I include the average farm value, the proportion of small farms and land inequality in 1860 (Acharya et al. 2016).<sup>26</sup> Additionally, the proportion of free blacks in 1860 is included to proxy norms about race (Acharya et al. 2016). The number of black illiterate men per black voting age population is obtained from the 1910 Census. See Data Appendix for detailed information regarding historical controls.

Table 1 presents the Descriptive Statistics. Although Table 1 shows that the voter registration rate of blacks is close to that of whites with rates of 75.05% and 76.34% respectively, this phenomenon is a result of high voter registration rates and voter turnout among blacks in the 2008 and 2012 Presidential Elections.<sup>27</sup> While voter registration rates among blacks (whites) exceed 100% in some counties, the result remains when these counties are excluded from the sample or when they are top-coded at 100%.<sup>28</sup>

## **IV** Empirical Framework

To estimate the relationship between historical lynchings and the contemporary voting behavior of blacks, the baseline equation uses county-level voting registration data from the Secretary of State Offices in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and

Appendix Table B3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>Newspapers have been shown to be a good proxy for institutional quality. Gentzkow, Glaeser & Goldin (2006) find that when corruption declined in the US, between 1870 to 1920, the press expanded its circulation. Besley & Burgess (2002) find that newspaper circulation is related to the dispersion of food aid and calamity relief in India. Besley & Prat (2006) show that higher rates of state ownership of newspapers is associated with higher levels of corruption.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Data on land inequality come from Acharya et al. (2016) as originally obtained from Nunn (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>The 2008 and 2012 Presidential Elections included the first African American Presidential Nominee, Barack Obama.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Voter registration rates of blacks exceeds 100% in 8 of the 256 counties in the sample.

South Carolina.<sup>29</sup> I estimate the following equation:

$$voter \ registration \ rate_{cs} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 lynching \ rate_{cs} + \beta_2 X_{cs}^H + \delta_s + \epsilon_{cs}$$
(1)

where c indexes counties and s indexes states; voter registration rate<sub>cs</sub> is the percentage of black registered voters per black voting-age population; lynching rate<sub>cs</sub> is the number of lynchings of blacks from 1882 to 1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900.<sup>30</sup>  $X_{cs}^{H}$ represents the vector of observed historical county characteristics that vary across counties. This vector includes factors that may have been determinants of lynchings, namely economic, institutional, social, and political factors.<sup>31</sup> To account for economic indicators, similar to Acharya et al. (2016), I include the average farm value in 1860, the proportion of small farms in 1860, and land inequality in 1860. Similarly, to account for social factors, I include the proportion of free blacks in 1860 to proxy norms of race (Acharya et al. 2016). My specification differs from (Acharya et al. 2016) in that I include proxies for institutional quality such as the average number of newspapers per total population in 1840 and the year in which a county was formed (Grosjean 2014). Barriers to voting during the historical period included polling taxes and literacy tests. However, these barriers were instituted at the state-level in Southern counties. To proxy political barriers, I include the number of black illiterate men per 10,000 voting age population in 1910. This variable is included since it is reasonable to believe that barriers to voting were implemented differently in areas with smaller (or larger) shares of illiterate black voters.  $\delta_s$  is the set of state fixed effects and  $\epsilon_{ct}$  is the error term. Standard errors in Equation (1) are clustered at the county level. The main coefficient of interest,  $\beta_1$ , estimates the impact of one additional lynching per 10,000 black population in 1900 on the percentage of black registered voters per black voting-age population.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>These are the only states in the former Confederacy that a) are included in the Project HAL lynching dataset and b) are places where individuals indicate their race when they register to vote.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>This measure excludes lynchings performed by black mobs against blacks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>See Section II for theories of lynchings.

## **V** Results

## **OLS Estimates**

Figure 5 shows the relationship of Equation (1). The dependent variable, voter registration rate of blacks, is defined as the percentage of black registered voters per black voting-age population. Figure 5 shows that lynching rates are negatively associated with black voter registration rates.<sup>32</sup> Specifically, moving from the 25th percentile of lynching rates to the 75th percentile of lynchings rates results in a 2.2 percentage point difference (equivalent to a 2.7% change) in voter registration rates among blacks.<sup>33</sup> This suggests that blacks who reside in counties that were exposed to a relatively higher number of historical lynchings are less likely to register to vote today.

#### **Falsification Exercises**

Next, I perform a number of falsification exercises. First, I consider whether there exists a relationship between lynching rates of whites and the contemporary voting behavior of blacks. Considering that blacks were disproportionately lynched compared to whites following the American Civil War (Price et al. 2008) and lynchings are proxies for historical racial animus towards blacks, there should not exist a significant relationship between lynching rates of whites and the voting behavior of blacks. Table 2 shows the results. Column (1), which is used for comparison purposes, presents the baseline estimate of the relationship between black lynching rates and registration rates among blacks. Column (2) presents the estimates using white lynching rates. Column (2) shows that the estimates are close to zero and statistically insignificant indicating that lynching rates of whites cannot be linked to the contemporary voting behavior of blacks.

Second, I consider whether there exists evidence of a relationship between lynching rates and the contemporary voting behavior of whites using black and white lynching rates in Columns (3) and (4) of Table 2 respectively. Similar to the previous falsification exercise,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>The negative relationship remains when the group of outliers are removed from the sample. Additionally, examining the sensitivity of the results to various specifications shows that the negative relationship remains when the lynching rate uses a log or arcsin transformation and when the lynching rates in the 95th percentile are dropped from the sample. However, dropping the 95th percentile lynching rates loses significance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>The difference in voter registration rates between whites and blacks differs by 5.7, 9.1, 3.8. and 0.6 percentage points in the 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012 elections respectively (Census Bureau Voting and Registration in the Election).

black lynching rates and whites lynching rates are not related to the contemporary voting behavior of whites. In fact, the estimates are close to zero and statistically insignificant. The results from Table 2 show that lynching rates of blacks are only associated with the contemporary voting behavior of blacks - the targeted group of 90% of lynchings.<sup>34</sup>

#### **Robustness Checks**

Motivated by the possibility that this relationship may be explained by several characteristics of counties, I examine a number of potential confounders. First, I examine whether this relationship is robust to the inclusion of slavery.<sup>35</sup>

As seen in Acharya et al. (2015), I include the slave rate in 1860 to serve as a proxy for institutional structures that may make it difficult for blacks to vote today. Column (1) of Table 3 shows the baseline estimates and Column (2) accounts for the number of slaves per 10,000 total population in 1860. Contrary to Acharya et al. (2015), the number of slaves is positively associated with voter registration rates of blacks, and this association is significant at the 1% level. To examine the discrepancy between the results in Column (2) and the results in Acharya et al. (2015), I examine whether current shares of black populations can explain this difference. For example, if current shares of blacks who are of voting age are positively associated with the share of slaves in 1860, then this positive association may be the result of blacks being more likely to register in areas in which there are more "like-minded" individuals. While a simple method to examine this would be to control for the contemporary share of voting age blacks (i.e. the number of voting age blacks, is defined as the percentage of black registered voters per black voting age population. Hence, controlling for the contemporary share of voting age blacks would lead to simultaneous bias.

To examine whether this relationship explains the positive association between slavery and the contemporary voter registration of blacks, I employ two methods. First, I examine the relationship between the share of slaves and the share of voting-age blacks. Figure 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>An additional falsification exercise, Table B4, shows that historical execution rates are not related to the contemporary voting behavior of blacks. This result is consistent with findings that historical executions were not used as substitutes for lynchings Cook et al. (2018) which suggests that legacy of lynchings tend to linger and predict the political participation of blacks today whereas executions do not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>Acharya et al. (2015) found that slavery left behind formal and cultural institutions (i.e. black codes, racial violence, Jim Crow, etc.), which made it difficult for blacks to vote, and continues to affect voter turnout of blacks today.

depicts the scatter plot of these two variables and shows a positive association between the share of slaves and the current share of voting age blacks. Figure 7 shows the binned scatter plot which again depicts a positive association between the share of slaves and the share of voting-age blacks. The second method changes the main specification so that the outcome variable is the number of black registered voters rather than the black registration rate (i.e. it is not normalized by the black voting age population) and controls for the current rate of voting age blacks. The results can be seen in Table 4. The results show two facts. First, similar to Acharya et al. (2015), slavery is negatively associated with the number of registered blacks, and the current rate of voting age blacks is positively associated with the number of blacks registered to vote. Second, there does not exist a statically significant relationship between slavery and current levels of registered blacks voters yet there exists a statistically significant relationship between the voting age blacks and the level of registered black voters. Taken together, the methods employed suggest that the positive coefficient seen in Table 3 Column (2) can be explained by blacks being clustered in areas the had a higher prevalence of slavery in the past.

Next, I examine whether the relationship between lynchings and the political participation is robust to contemporary factors. Table 5 Columns (1) - (5) accounts for each potential confounder by adding each confounder to the baseline specification.<sup>36</sup>

Since education and earnings have been shown to be associated with political participation, Columns (1) and (2) include education and earnings into the baseline specification respectively. The results show that there exists a positive yet statistically insignificant relationship between education and voter registration as well as earnings and voter registration.

Given that many of the states in my sample are Republican states, yet many blacks vote for the Democratic candidate, blacks may choose to refrain from voting in these states since they believe that their vote will not be pivotal in the election. Column (3) examines the extent to which the main result can be attributed to Republican party dominance by including a 4-year lag of Republican party dominance.<sup>37</sup> Republican party dominance is negatively and significantly associated with voter registration rates of blacks indicating that fewer blacks register to vote in areas where a larger proportion of residents voted for the Republican nominee in the previous Presidential Election. This suggests that blacks may choose not to register to vote in areas where their vote will not be pivotal - areas previously

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>See data appendix for a discussion of data sources for each variable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>A 4-year lag is included so that Republican party dominance will not be correlated with the dependent variable.

won by Republicans.

Because blacks have higher incarceration rates compared to other racial groups and individuals cannot vote when they are incarcerated, Column (4) incorporates incarceration rate of blacks into the baseline specification.<sup>38</sup> The results show that the incarceration rate is negatively associated with voter registration rates yet this association is insignificant.

The number of polling places, which has been shown to positively affect voter participation, can be viewed as a proxy for accessibility to voting. Column (5) includes the polling place rate into the baseline specification. The results show that the number of polling places is positively and significantly associated with voter registration rates of blacks. This indicates that easier access to voting, in the form of polling places, increases registration rates of blacks.

The final column of Table 5 presents the specification which includes all potential confounders, historical controls, year and state fixed effects. The results show that for one additional lynching per 10,000 black population in 1900, the voter registration rate of blacks decreases by 0.4 percentage points and this result is significant at the 5% level. In other words, moving from the 25th percentile of lynching rates to the 75th percentile of lynchings rates results in a 1.9 percentage point difference (equivalent to a 2.5% change) in voter registration rates among blacks.

In summary, Table 5 shows that there exists a link between historical lynchings and the contemporary voting behavior of blacks.<sup>39</sup> Additionally, while the results are robust to the inclusion of the potential confounders, some or all of these controls are endogenous in that they could be affected by lynchings. As a result, the remainder of the analysis will use the baseline specification as the preferred specification. This specification includes historical controls and year, state fixed effects.<sup>40</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup>In Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina ex-offenders can register to vote after completion of their full sentence. In Alabama, ex-offenders can register to vote after completing their entire sentence except those convicted of murder, rape, incest, sexual crimes against children, and treason. In Florida, ex-offenders can register to vote 5 years after completing their sentence except those convicted of murder, assault, child abuse, drug trafficking, and arson. Ex-offenders convicted of these crimes can register to vote 7 years after completing their full sentence. One limitation with this data is that the incarceration rate is based on the number of individuals in local jails not incarcerated individuals' home addresses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup>An additional specification uses lynching data from the Equal Justice Initiative (EJI) which contain county-level lynching from 1877 to 1950. The results remain negative and significant and can be seen in the Appendix Table B5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>Considering that the estimates obtained in Table 5 may be biased by unobservables, I examine whether selection on observables can be used to access the potential bias from unobservables (Altonji, Elder & Taber 2005, Oster 2017). The results show that selection on observables is un-

## VI Mechanisms

Up to this point, I have shown that lynchings are associated with the contemporary voting behavior of blacks. This relationship is consistent with the hypothesis that historical racial animus continues to influence the political participation of blacks. Yet, an explanation for this relationship has not been identified. In this section, I perform a number of exercises to identify potential channels though which this relationship might exist.

#### Migration Results

The first potential explanation examines whether these results can be explained by geographic migration. For example, during the Great Migration, which lasted from 1916 to 1970, millions of blacks migrated away from southern states to northern and western states in search of better economic and social conditions. If blacks who were more likely to participate in voting were also more likely to migrate away from violent southern counties, blacks with lower voting propensities remained.

Following Acharya et al. (2016), I use the 1940 100% sample obtained from the IPUMS-USA. This sample is unique in that it provides a respondent's current county of residence as well as the county of residence five years prior (Acharya et al. 2016) allowing for individuals who migrated from southern counties to be identified. Once identified, I can test whether migrants' individual attributes differ from individuals who remained in southern counties. For geographic sorting to explain the results, patterns of mobility out of southern counties would need to differ as a function of lynchings.

To examine whether geographic sorting explains the results, I restrict the data to blacks and estimate:

$$attributes_{i} = \gamma_{1} outmigration_{i} + \gamma_{2} lynching \ rate_{1935i} + \gamma_{3} (outmigration_{i} * lynching \ rate_{1935i}) + \gamma_{4} X_{1935c}^{H} + \delta_{1935s} + \epsilon_{ict}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $attributes_i$  represents a respondent's wage, age, gender, education level, weeks worked, and rent;  $outmigration_i$  represents whether an individual migrated out of a southern county.<sup>41</sup> This regression also includes historical controls based on a respondent's 1935

likely and can be seen in Appendix Table B6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup>Southern counties include counties in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina.

county of residence and his or her 1935 state fixed effects. The main coefficient of interest,  $\gamma_3$ , estimates differences between out-migrants' individual attributes and those who did not migrate as a function of the lynching rate. Table 6 shows the results from Equation (2). We see that out-migrants have lower wages, are older, are less likely to be female, are more likely to have 9th grade education or more, are more likely to be full-time, and have lower rent compared to individuals who "stayed" in southern counties with higher lynching rates. However, these estimates are close to zero and are statistically insignificant.<sup>42</sup>

Considering the data used in Equation (2) cover a small window during the Great Migration, I use data from Collins & Wanamaker (2014) to examine black male southern migrants and non-migrants during the peak of the Great Migration (1910 to 1930). Using data from Collins & Wanamaker (2014) support the results seen in Table 6 in that black male migrants do not differ significantly from non-migrants on selected attributes and the estimates are close to zero. These results can be found in Appendix Table B7. Together, these findings suggest that sorting does not explain the relationship between lynching and the voting behavior of blacks.

## **Polling Locations**

The second explanation examines whether counties that experienced a relatively higher number of lynchings have contemporary barriers that suppress voting. I consider one potential barrier - the paucity of polling places in black areas. That is, I examine whether counties with more historical lynchings have fewer polling places in areas where blacks live. If the number of polling places varies as a function of lynching rates and the proportion of blacks in an area, then my results may be a result of this phenomenon. However, if no relationship exists, then this exercise will strengthen the claim that historical lynchings, a proxy for historical racial animus, have had a long-run association with the voting behavior of blacks.

To examine this relationship, I obtain the GIS boundary census-tract map along with census-tract population data from the 2010 Census. Polling place data come from the Secretary of State Offices in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina and includes the name of each polling place, each polling place address, and county and state identifiers. Using an address locator from ArcGIS, each polling place address is geocoded into its equivalent latitude and longitude coordinate. As shown in Figure 8a, pairs of coordinates are overlaid onto the 2010 United States census-tract boundary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup>Females are less likely to be out-migrants compared to stayers in southern counties with higher lynchings rates and this relationship is statistically significant.

map. Figure 8b shows an enlarged mapping of geocoded addresses in Louisiana and shows that the number of polling places varies across census-tracts.

Using this mapping, I tally the number of polling places that lie within the GIS censustract and merge this dataset with the 2010 population data and the lynching data to be used in Equation (3).<sup>43</sup>

To examine whether the number of polling places varies as a function of the proportion of blacks and the lynching rate, I consider:

$$polling_t = \kappa_0 + \kappa_1 share \ black_t + \kappa_2 lynching \ rate_c + \\ \kappa_3(share \ black_t * lynching \ rate_c) + \kappa_4 population \ density_t + \epsilon_t$$
(3)

where *polling*<sub>t</sub> is the number of polling places per 10,000 population which varies across census tracts, *share black*<sub>t</sub> is the proportion of blacks which varies across census tracts, *lynching rate*<sub>c</sub> is the number of black lynchings from 1882 to 1930 per black population in 1900 which varies across counties, and *population density*<sub>t</sub> is the population per 100 land area which varies across census tracts.<sup>44</sup> The coefficient of interest,  $\kappa_3$ , measures the relationship between lynchings and the number of polling places as a function of black residents have fewer polling places, yet the association is insignificant and the coefficient is close to zero. Second, areas that experienced a relatively higher number of lynchings in the past have fewer polling places today. This association is significant difference in the number of polling places as the proportion of blacks and the lynching rate vary. In fact, the magnitude of the main coefficient of interest,  $\kappa_3$ , is close to zero. In summary, Table 7 suggests that there does not exist evidence that counties that experienced a relatively higher number of polling places as the proportion of blacks and the lynching rate vary. In fact, the magnitude of the main coefficient of interest,  $\kappa_3$ , is close to zero. In summary, Table 7 suggests that there does not exist evidence that counties that experienced a relatively higher

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup>To merge the geocoded address (point) layer with the NHGIS census-tract boundary layer, I use the intersect tool in ArcGIS. The intersect tool takes two layers as input and returns the features that belong to both layers as output. Census-tract boundaries that do not contain any points from the point layer are assumed to have no polling places. The merged point and boundary layer file is aggregated to the census-tract level which yields the total number of polling places in each census tract.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup>Land area is measured in square miles.

#### **Cultural Voting Norms**

The final explanation examines whether lynchings are related to cultural voting norms of blacks. Considering that research has shown that individuals are motivated to vote due to the social image received from family and friends (DellaVigna et al. 2016), it is plausible that lynchings created persistent cultural beliefs regarding voting. That is, lynchings may have discouraged voting, thereby lowering the political participation patterns which were passed down to future generations.

To examine whether lynchings are associated with the intergenerational transmission of voting behavior, I use data from the Southern Focus Poll (SFP) which asks questions about the south and contain county identifiers. In particular, the SFP asks respondents "when you were growing up, how important was it to your parents that you be patriotic."<sup>45</sup> I assign individuals historical lynching rates based on their current county of residence.

Figure 9 shows the results for blacks, whites, and others.<sup>46</sup>. The results shows that blacks who live in areas with higher lynching rates are less likely to indicate that their parents thought it was important for them to be patriotic. Yet, this relationship is close to zero and statistically insignificant for whites and other minorities. The fact that this relationship only exists among blacks and not other minorities suggests that lynchings continue to influence the voting behavior of blacks via cultural voting norms. While this does not rule out the persistence of discriminatory practices, considering that this relationship does not exist in other minorities suggests that voting practices have been passed down to future generations among the targeted group of lynchings - blacks.

## Heterogeneity

The analysis thus far has established that historical lynchings are negatively associated with the voting behavior of blacks. This section examines whether this relationship can be mitigated. For example, Tate (1991) found that blacks who had more education, higher incomes, and were more engaged in social activities that create strong social bonds between blacks (i.e. church attendance) were more likely to participate in voting.

I investigate whether the relationship between historical lynchings and the voting behavior of blacks varies as a function of education, earnings, and the black church member rate. Table 8 presents the results. Column (1) reports the estimates when the lynching rate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup>The patriotic question is only available in 1993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>Others indicate individuals who select Native Americans, Hispanics, or Other as race.

is interacted with the proportion of blacks with some college experience. While higher shares of blacks with some college experience or more appears to mitigate the relationship between lynchings and voter registration, this association is statistically insignificant. Column (2) shows that higher earnings do not change the relationship between lynchings and voting. However, Column (3) presents the results when the black church member rate is interacted with the lynching rate and show that a higher church rate mitigates the relationship between lynchings and voter registration. In conclusion, Table 8 shows that the relationship between lynchings and black voter registration rates is mitigated by higher rates of black church members which suggest that blacks with stronger ties to the black community, or even church community, will weaken the main results

## VII Summary and Conclusion

Economists have shown that historical events can have long-run impacts by permanently changing culture or norms of behavior. This paper contributes to the literature in economics by understanding the extent to which historical racial animus continues to influence the voting behavior of blacks. The results show that counties that were exposed to a relatively higher number of lynchings have lower voter registration rates of blacks today. Specifically blacks who moved from areas within the 25th percentile of lynching rates to the 75th percentile of lynching rates would reduce their voter registration rate by 2.7%. Further analyses suggest that this result is unlikely to be driven by education, earnings, Republican party dominance, incarceration rates of blacks, institutions that remained after slavery, geographic sorting, or contemporary barriers to voting. Examining individual-level variation in patriotism shows that blacks who reside in counties with a relatively higher number of lynchings are less likely to indicate that their parents thought it was important for them to be patriotic. However, this relationship does not exist among whites and other minority groups. This provides evidence of the persistence of cultural voting norms among blacks the targeted group of lynchings.

In addition to understanding the determinants of voting, this research has important policy implications. In 2013, a key provision of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was overturned. This provision required areas with a history of racial discrimination in voting to receive pre-clearance from a federal court to change election laws. Given that this paper documents the long-run association between historical lynchings and political participation before this key provision was overturned, these findings can be used to inform policies and laws that protect the voting rights of minorities. Additionally, this paper documents that blacks who reside in counties with a relatively higher number of lynchings are underrepresented in voting which suggests that their interests are also underrepresented in American policies.

#### References

- Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S. & Robinson, J. A. (2012). The colonial origins of comparative development: An empirical investigation: Reply, *American Economic Review* 102(6): 3077–3110.
- Acharya, A., Blackwell, M. & Sen, M. (2015). A culture of disenfranchisement: How american slavery continues to affect voting behavior, *The Journal of Politics, forthcoming*
- Acharya, A., Blackwell, M. & Sen, M. (2016). The political legacy of american slavery, *The Journal of Politics* **78**(3): 621–641.
- Akee, R., Copeland, W., Costello, E. J., Holbein, J. B. & Simeonova, E. (2018). Family income and the intergenerational transmission of voting behavior: Evidence from an income intervention, *Technical report*, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Alesina, A., Giuliano, P. & Nunn, N. (2013). On the origins of gender roles: Women and the plough, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 128(2): 469–530.
- Allen, J., Als, H., Lewis, J. & Litwack, L. F. (2000). Without sanctuary: Lynching photography in America, Twin Palms Santa Fe, NM.
- Alsan, M. & Wanamaker, M. (2018). Tuskegee and the health of black men, *The quarterly journal of economics* **133**(1): 407–455.
- Altonji, J. G., Elder, T. E. & Taber, C. R. (2005). Selection on observed and unobserved variables: Assessing the effectiveness of catholic schools, *Journal of political economy* **113**(1): 151–184.
- Ashworth, S. (2007). Targeted campaigns with ambiguity-averse voters, *Unpublished manuscript, Princeton University*.
- Beck, E. & Tolnay, S. E. (1992). A season for violence: The lynching of blacks and labor demand in the agricultural production cycle in the american south, *International Review of Social History* 37(1): 1–24.
- Besley, T. & Burgess, R. (2002). The political economy of government responsiveness: Theory and evidence from india, *The quarterly journal of economics* **117**(4): 1415–1451.
- Besley, T. & Prat, A. (2006). Handcuffs for the grabbing hand? media capture and government accountability, *American economic review* 96(3): 720–736.
- Blalock, H. M. (1967). Toward a theory of minority-group relations.
- Blanco, L. R. (2013). The impact of crime on trust in institutions in mexico, *European Journal of Political Economy* **32**: 38–55.

- Bullock III, C. S. & MacManus, S. A. (1981). Policy responsiveness to the black electorate: Programmatic versus symbolic representation, *American Politics Quarterly* 9(3): 357– 368.
- Byrne, D. N. et al. (2005). *The Unfinished agenda of the Selma-Montgomery voting rights march*, Diverse: Issues In Higher Education.
- Cascio, E. U. & Washington, E. (2014). Valuing the vote: The redistribution of voting rights and state funds following the voting rights act of 1965, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **129**(1): 379–433.
- Charles, K. K. & Stephens Jr, M. (2013). Employment, wages, and voter turnout, *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* **5**(4): 111–43.
- Christopher, W. M. (1965). The constitutionality of the voting rights act of 1965, *Stan. L. Rev.* **18**: 1.
- Collins, W. J. & Wanamaker, M. H. (2014). Selection and economic gains in the great migration of african americans: new evidence from linked census data, *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 6(1): 220–52.
- Cook, L. D. (2014). Violence and economic activity: evidence from african american patents, 1870–1940, *Journal of Economic Growth* **19**(2): 221–257.
- Cook, L. D., Logan, T. D. & Parman, J. M. (2018). Racial segregation and southern lynching, Social Science History pp. 1—-41.
- DeFina, R. & Hannon, L. (2011). The legacy of black lynching and contemporary segregation in the south, *The Review of Black Political Economy* **38**(2): 165–181.
- DellaVigna, S., List, J. A., Malmendier, U. & Rao, G. (2016). Voting to tell others, *The Review of Economic Studies* 84(1): 143–181.
- Dickerson, D. L. (2003). *The Reconstruction era: primary documents on events from 1865* to 1877, Greenwood Publishing Group.
- Downs, A. (1957). An economic theory of political action in a democracy, *Journal of political economy* **65**(2): 135–150.
- DuBois, W. E. B. (1935). Black reconstruction in america 1860-1880: An essay toward a history of the part which black folk played in the attempt to reconstruct democracy in america, *New York: Russell and Russell*.
- Foner, E. (1988). Reconstruction: America's unfinished revolution, New York .
- Fryer Jr, R. G. & Levitt, S. D. (2012). Hatred and profits: Under the hood of the ku klux klan, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **127**(4): 1883–1925.

- Fujiwara, T., Meng, K. & Vogl, T. (2016). Habit formation in voting: Evidence from rainy elections, *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 8(4): 160–88.
- Garrow, D. J. (1978). Protest at Selma: Martin Luther King, Jr., and the Voting Rights Act of 1965, Yale University Press.
- Gentzkow, M., Glaeser, E. L. & Goldin, C. (2006). The rise of the fourth estate. how newspapers became informative and why it mattered, *Corruption and reform: Lessons from America's economic history*, University of Chicago Press, pp. 187–230.
- Gerber, A. S., Green, D. P. & Shachar, R. (2003). Voting may be habit-forming: evidence from a randomized field experiment, *American Journal of Political Science* 47(3): 540–550.
- Grosjean, P. (2014). A history of violence: The culture of honor and homicide in the us south, *Journal of the European Economic Association* **12**(5): 1285–1316.
- Hume, R. L. & Gough, J. B. (2008). Blacks, carpetbaggers, and scalawags: The constitutional conventions of radical reconstruction, LSU Press.
- Jones, D. B., Troesken, W. & Walsh, R. (2017). Political participation in a violent society: The impact of lynching on voter turnout in the post-reconstruction south, *Journal of Development Economics* 129: 29–46.
- Kent, R. (2003). Making race, making power: North carolina's road to disenfranchisement.
- Lebergott, S. (1964). *Manpower in economic growth: The American record since 1800*, McGraw-Hill New York.
- Lowes, S. R. & Montero, E. (2018). The legacy of colonial medicine in central africa.
- Matsusaka, J. G. (1995). Explaining voter turnout patterns: An information theory, *Public choice* **84**(1-2): 91–117.
- Miller, M. K. (2015). Elections, information, and policy responsiveness in autocratic regimes, *Comparative Political Studies* 48(6): 691–727.
- Mocan, N. & Raschke, C. (2016). Economic well-being and anti-semitic, xenophobic, and racist attitudes in germany, *European Journal of Law and Economics* **41**(1): 1–63.
- Nunn, N. (2008). Slavery, inequality, and economic development in the americas, *Institutions and economic performance* pp. 148–80.
- Nunn, N. (2009). The importance of history for economic development, *Annu. Rev. Econ.* **1**(1): 65–92.
- Nunn, N. & Wantchekon, L. (2011). The slave trade and the origins of mistrust in africa, *American Economic Review* **101**(7): 3221–52.

- Oster, E. (2017). Unobservable selection and coefficient stability: Theory and evidence, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* pp. 1–18.
- Price, G. N., Darity Jr, W. A. & Headen Jr, A. E. (2008). Does the stigma of slavery explain the maltreatment of blacks by whites?: The case of lynchings, *The Journal of Socio-Economics* **37**(1): 167–193.
- Tate, K. (1991). Black political participation in the 1984 and 1988 presidential elections, *American Political Science Review* **85**(4): 1159–1176.
- Tolnay, S. E. & Beck, E. M. (1995). A festival of violence: An analysis of southern lynchings, 1882-1930, University of Illinois Press.
- Voigtländer, N. & Voth, H.-J. (2012). Persecution perpetuated: the medieval origins of antisemitic violence in nazi germany, *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 127(3): 1339– 1392.
- Williams, L. F. (1993). The south carolina ku klux klan trials and enforcement of federal rights, 1871-1872, *Civil War History* **39**(1): 47–66.

| Panel A: Outcome Variables                 | Mean      | Standard Deviation | Min      | Max       | Ν   |
|--|-----------|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----|
| Black registered voters                    | 75.052    | 15.876             | 24.504   | 136.704   | 256 |
| White registered voters                    | 76.340    | 11.106             | 38.299   | 104.865   | 256 |
| Panel B: Historical Controls               |           |                    |          |           |     |
| Black lynchings                            | 3.063     | 3.416              | 0.000    | 18.000    | 256 |
| Black lynching rate                        | 3.640     | 4.707              | 0.000    | 33.482    | 256 |
| Black population in 1900                   | 11277.113 | 9115.418           | 432.000  | 60312.000 | 256 |
| Average farm value in 1860                 | 9.184     | 8.591              | 1.000    | 65.000    | 256 |
| Proportion of small farms in 1860          | 0.384     | 0.196              | 0.023    | 1.000     | 256 |
| Inequality of farmland in 1860             | 0.491     | 0.077              | 0.160    | 0.737     | 256 |
| Proportion of free blacks in 1860          | 130.478   | 212.772            | 0.000    | 1685.682  | 256 |
| Newspapers per capita                      | 0.117     | 0.396              | 0.000    | 4.854     | 256 |
| Year county formed                         | 1774.969  | 54.277             | 1664.000 | 1836.000  | 256 |
| Slaves in 1860 (per 10k pop)               | 4430.844  | 1923.498           | 491.453  | 9085.114  | 256 |
| Proportion of black illiterate men in 1910 | 4282.120  | 984.828            | 1501.534 | 7234.146  | 256 |
| Panel C: Contemporary Controls             |           |                    |          |           |     |
| Some college experience or more of blacks  | 0.278     | 0.108              | 0.101    | 0.696     | 256 |
| Monthly earnings of blacks                 | 2045.966  | 295.352            | 1348.750 | 3224.250  | 256 |
| Black church member rate                   | 188.134   | 126.287            | 0.000    | 835.017   | 256 |
| Republican party dominance (4-year lag)    | 10.479    | 21.750             | -72.750  | 55.750    | 256 |
| Incarceration rate of blacks               | 127.630   | 185.180            | 0.000    | 1816.800  | 256 |
| Polling place rate (per 10k pop)           | 5.187     | 3.193              | 0.942    | 25.017    | 256 |

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics

Data Sources: Registered voters data and polling location data come from the Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina Secretary of State Offices. The lynching data come from the Historical American Lynching Project. The National Historical Geographic Information System contains the black population in 1900, the total population in 1840, the average number of newspapers in 1840, and the number of slaves in 1860. Grosjean (2014) provides the year of county formation. The average farm value, proportion of small farms, inequality of farmland and the number of free blacks in 1860 come from Acharya et al. (2016). The number of black illiterate men per voting-age population is obtained from the 1910 Census. Contemporary measures of population are obtained from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program. The 2000 Census provides the share of blacks (whites) with at least some college experience, the median age of blacks (whites), and the share married. The monthly earnings of blacks (whites) are obtained from the 2000, 2004, 2008, 2012 Census Quarterly Workforce Indicators. Republican party dominance is obtained from David Leip's Atlas in 1996, 2000, 2004, and 2008. The incarceration rate come from Vera Institute of Justice in 2010. The black church member rate is obtained from the 2010 U.S. Religion Census. The black (white) registered voter rate is the percentage of black registered voter per black (white) voting age population. The lynching rate is the number of black lynchings per 10,000 black population in 1900. The average newspaper rate is the average number of newspapers per 10,000 total population in 1840. The polling place rate is obtained from county-level data from the Secretary of State Offices in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina. This rate indicates the number of polling places per 10,000 total population.

Table 2The Association between Lynching Rates and Political Participation among Blacks

|                        | Black Registration Rate |         | White Reg | gistration Rate |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
|                        | (1)                     | (2)     | (3)       | (4)             |
| Black lynching rate    | -0.507                  |         | -0.026    |                 |
|                        | (0.192)                 |         | (0.096)   |                 |
| White lynching rate    |                         | -0.031  |           | -0.017          |
|                        |                         | (0.104) |           | (0.056)         |
| Historical Controls    | Yes                     | Yes     | Yes       | Yes             |
| State Fixed Effects    | Yes                     | Yes     | Yes       | Yes             |
| Number of observations | 256                     | 256     | 256       | 256             |
| R-Squared              | 0.540                   | 0.521   | 0.596     | 0.596           |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black (white) lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black (white) population in 1900. The dependent variable, black (white) registered voters rate, is the (averaged) percentage of black (white) registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Election per black voting age population. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.

 
 Table 3

 The Association between Lynching Rates and Political Participation among Blacks Controlling for Slaves

|                              | Black Voter Registration Ra |         |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--|
|                              | (1)                         | (2)     |  |
| Black lynching rate          | -0.507                      | -0.427  |  |
|                              | (0.192)                     | (0.184) |  |
| Slaves in 1860 (per 10k pop) |                             | 0.002   |  |
|                              |                             | (0.001) |  |
| Historical Controls          | Yes                         | Yes     |  |
| State Fixed Effects          | Yes                         | Yes     |  |
| Number of observations       | 256                         | 256     |  |
| R-Squared                    | 0.540                       | 0.568   |  |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. The dependent variable, black registered voters rate, is the (averaged) percentage of black registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Election per black voting age population. Share slaves in the number of slaves per 10,000 total population in 1860. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.

Table 4The Association between Lynching Rates and Black Registered Voters

|                                 | Dependent Variable: Number of Black Registered Voters |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Black lynching rate             | -640.216  |
|                                 | (236.628)   |
| Slaves in 1860 (per 10k pop)    | -2.856  |
|                                 | (2.238)   |
| Voting age blacks (per 10k pop) | 4.605   |
|                                 | (2.067)   |
| Historical Controls             | Yes   |
| State Fixed Effects             | Yes   |
| Number of observations          | 256   |
| R-Squared                       | 0.174   |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. The dependent variable is the average number of black registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Elections. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.

|   | Depei   | ndent Vari | able: Blac | ck Voter R | egistratio | n Rate  |
|---|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
|   | (1)     | (2)        | (3)        | (4)        | (5)        | (6)     |
| Black lynching rate                       | -0.491  | -0.489     | -0.368     | -0.514     | -0.561     | -0.439  |
|   | (0.194) | (0.196)    | (0.194)    | (0.196)    | (0.166)    | (0.178) |
| Some college experience or more of blacks | 6.489   |            |            |            |            | 16.242  |
|   | (6.139) |            |            |            |            | (5.927) |
| Monthly earnings of blacks                |         | 0.003      |            |            |            | 0.004   |
|   |         | (0.003)    |            |            |            | (0.003) |
| Republican party dominance (4-year lag)   |         |            | -0.194     |            |            | -0.103  |
|   |         |            | (0.037)    |            |            | (0.069) |
| Incarceration rate of blacks              |         |            |            | -0.005     |            | -0.006  |
|   |         |            |            | (0.004)    |            | (0.004) |
| Polling place rate (per 10k pop)          |         |            |            |            | 1.481      | 1.503   |
|   |         |            |            |            | (0.230)    | (0.239) |
| Historical Controls                       | Yes     | Yes        | Yes        | Yes        | Yes        | Yes     |
| State Fixed Effects                       | Yes     | Yes        | Yes        | Yes        | Yes        | Yes     |
| Number of observations                    | 256     | 256        | 256        | 256        | 256        | 256     |
| R-Squared                                 | 0.542   | 0.542      | 0.591      | 0.543      | 0.607      | 0.646   |

| Table 5                                      |                                       |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| The Association between Lynching Rates and V | Voter Registration Rates among Blacks |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. The dependent variable, black registered voters rate, is the (averaged) percentage of black registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Election per black voting age population. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.

|                                  | (1)       | (2)     | (3)      | (4)         | (5)       | (6)       |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Out-Migrants vs. Stayers         | Log(wage) | Age     | Female   | Ninth-grade | Full-time | Rent      |
| Outmigrant × Black lynching rate | -0.004    | 0.002   | -0.004** | 0.000       | 0.002     | -0.997    |
|                                  | (0.006)   | (0.030) | (0.002)  | (0.002)     | (0.001)   | (0.815)   |
| Outmigrant                       | 0.500***  | -0.447  | 0.075*** | 0.107***    | -0.034**  | 26.361*** |
|                                  | (0.018)   | (0.235) | (0.009)  | (0.012)     | (0.010)   | (2.955)   |
| Black lynching rate              | 0.004     | 0.009   | 0.000    | -0.001      | 0.001     | -0.343    |
|                                  | (0.002)   | (0.010) | (0.000)  | (0.001)     | (0.000)   | (0.197)   |
| Historical Controls              | Yes       | Yes     | Yes      | Yes         | Yes       | Yes       |
| State Fixed Effects              | Yes       | Yes     | Yes      | Yes         | Yes       | Yes       |
| Number of observations           | 89,868    | 218,832 | 218,832  | 185,722     | 218,832   | 168,215   |
| R-Squared                        | 0.071     | 0.006   | 0.003    | 0.037       | 0.005     | 0.005     |

 Table 6

 The Association between Lynching Rates and Differences in Attributes between Migrants and Stayers

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. Data on the dependent variable come from the 1940 IPUMS-USA.

 Table 7

 The Association between Lynchings Rates and the Number of Polling Locations

| Dependent Variable: Polling Locations             | (1)     |
|---|---------|
| Proportion of Blacks                              | -0.119  |
|   | (0.112) |
| Black lynching rate                               | -0.003  |
|   | (0.001) |
| Proportion of Blacks $\times$ Black lynching rate | 0.006   |
|   | (0.009) |
| Population Density                                | -0.006  |
|   | (0.002) |
| Constant  | 1.796   |
|   | (0.154) |
| State Fixed Effects                               | Yes     |
| Number of observations                            | 11,712  |
| R-Squared   | 0.081   |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. The dependent variable, number of polling locations, come from the Secretary of State Offices in AL, FL, GA, LA, NC, and SC. The proportion black and population density come from the 2010 Census.

| Table 8   |
|---|
| The Association between Lynching Rates and Black Voter Registration Rates |
| Heterogeneity Analysis  |

|   | Depende | ent Variable: | Black Voter Registration Rate |
|---|---------|---------------|-------------------------------|
|   | (1)     | (2)           | (3)                           |
| Black lynching rate*Some college experience of blacks | 0.163   |               |                               |
|   | (0.140) |               |                               |
| Black lynching rate*Monthly earnings of blacks        |         | 0.003         |                               |
|   |         | (0.005)       |                               |
| Black lynching rate*Black member rate in 2010         |         |               | 0.020                         |
|   |         |               | (0.013)                       |
| Black lynching rate                                   | -9.044  | -11.330       | -7.777                        |
|   | (4.046) | (10.777)      | (2.199)                       |
| Historical Controls                                   | Yes     | Yes           | Yes                           |
| State Fixed Effects                                   | Yes     | Yes           | Yes                           |
| Number of observations                                | 256     | 256           | 256                           |
| R-Squared   | 0.542   | 0.541         | 0.546                         |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 1,000 black population in 1900. The dependent variable, black registered voters rate, is the (averaged) percentage of black registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Election per black voting age population. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.



Figure 1: Voter Registration in 1867 and 1868 and Black Lynching Rate (County-Level) Note: Binned scatter plot. Controls for Percentage of Blacks in 1860 Voter registration data source: John Clegg based on tables in (Hume & Gough 2008)







(b) Black Lynchings per 10k Black Pop. in 1900

Figure 2: Map of Lynchings



Figure 3: Voter Registration Pre- and Post Voting Rights Act of 1965 and Black Lynching Rate (County-Level)



Figure 4: Lynching Message



Figure 5: Lynchings and Black Voter Registration Rates



Figure 6: Scattered Plot of Contemporary Share Black and Share Slaves in 1860



Figure 7: Binned Scattered Plot of Contemporary Share Black and Share Slaves in 1860



(a) Dolling Place Locations Geocoded

(b) Louisiana Sample Enlarged



Figure 9: Cultural Voting Norms

## **Appendix A: Data Appendix**

The Alabama Secretary of State Office reports the number of black (white) registered voters at the county-level for active and inactive voters separately on its website in 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012. The Alabama Secretary of State Office website is http://www.alabamavotes.gov/Voterreg.aspx?m=voters. Inactive voters are voters who have

not voted in four years in their county whereas active voters are voters who are not on the inactive voters list. I use the number of active black voters as the measure of registered black voters and define the measure of registered white voters similarly.

The number of black (white) registered voters in Florida were obtained from the 2016 voter statistics files provided by the Florida Secretary of State Office. These files contain individual records that include the registration date, race, birth date and county of residence for registered voters in 2016. The Florida Secretary of State Office removes individuals who have passed away from its voter files. To compute the number of registered voters in 2000, I aggregate the number of registered voters with a registration date on or before 2000 at the county-level. Similarly, the number of registered voters in 2004, 2008, and 2012 is computed.

The Georgia Secretary of State Office reports the number of black (white) registered voters at the county-level for females and males separately on its website in 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012. The Georgia Secretary of State Office website is

http://sos.ga.gov/index.php/elections. I compute the total number of black registered voters at the county-level by summing the number of black (white) female and black (white) male registered voters.

The Louisiana Secretary of State Office reports the number of black (white) registered voters at the parish (county) on its website in 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012. The Louisiana Secretary of State Office website is http://www.sos.la.gov/ElectionsAndVotings.

The number of black (white) registered voters from North Carolina are obtained from voter statistics files provided by the North Carolina Secretary of State Office in 2004, 2008, and 2012. It is worth noting that the North Carolina Secretary of State Office does not report voter information separated by race until 2002. These files contain the number of registered voters by county, race, and age. Summing across age groups in each county for blacks and whites separately gives the number of black and white registered voters.

The South Carolina Secretary of State Office reports the number of white and nonwhite registered voters at the count-level on its website in 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012. The South Carolina Secretary of State Office website is https://www.scvotes.org/data/voterhistory.html. The number of nonwhite registered voters is used to represent the number of black registered voters.

Information on the number of daily, weekly, and triweekly newspapers in each county is obtained from the 1840 Census and the newspaper rate is defined as the average number of daily, weekly, and weekly newspapers per total population in 1840. The year in which a county was formed is obtained from Grosjean (2014) as originally obtained from the National Association of Counties. The proportion of slaves is obtained from the 1860 Census and is defined as the number of slaves per total population in 1860. The average farm value in 1860, the proportion of small farms in 1860, land inequality in 1860, and the proportion of free blacks in 1860 are obtained from Acharya et al. (2016) as originally obtained from the 1860 Census with the exception of land inequality which was originally obtained from Nunn (2008).

The contemporary measures in the study come from a variety of sources. The county-level proportion of blacks (whites) with at least some college education is obtained from the 2000 Census. The county-level monthly earnings for blacks (whites) for the years 2000, 2004, 2008, and 2012 are obtained from the Census Bureau's Quarterly Workforce Indi-

cators (QWI). County-level lagged Republican party dominance data are obtained from David Leip's Atlas of US Presidential Elections.<sup>47</sup> Party dominance is defined as the percentage of votes awarded to the Republican Presidential Nominee minus the percentage of votes awarded to the Democratic Presidential Nominee for the years 1996, 2000, 2004, and 2008.48 For example, in DeKalb County, if the Republican Presidential Nominee was awarded 58% of the votes and if the Democratic Presidential Nominee was awarded 42% of the votes in 2000, then the party dominance in DeKalb County in 2000 is 16%. The incarceration rate of blacks is obtained from the 2010 Vera Institute of Justice which reports the number of black individuals in jail per 10,000 county residents. The number of black church members is obtained from the 2010 U.S. Religion Census.<sup>49</sup> The U.S. Religion Census classifies black churches as churches with the largest historically black denominations.<sup>50</sup>. The black church member rate is defined as the number of members who attend black churches per 10,000 black population in 2010. The number of polling places is obtained from the Secretary of State Offices in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South Carolina. This data represent polling locations in the 2016 Presidential Election.

<sup>49</sup>Tate (1991) finds that voting propensity is higher for blacks who attend church.

<sup>50</sup>The list of blacks churches include the African Methodist Episcopal Church, the African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church, the Christian Methodist Episcopal Church, the Church of God in Christ, the National Baptist Convention of America, Inc., the National Baptist Convention, USA, Inc., the National Missionary Baptist Convention, Inc., and the Progressive National Baptist Convention, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup>Lagged party dominance is used so that party dominance will not be correlated with the outcome variable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>Kent (2003) finds that voter turnout is lower when one party is dominant since the outcome appears to be certain argues that party dominance accounts for declines in voter turnout more than race, election laws, or economic class.

## **Appendix B: Supplemental Material**

|                         | Table B1                    |                   |            |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| The Association between | Voter Registration Rates in | n 1867/1868 and I | Historical |
|                         | Lynching Rates              |                   |            |

|  | Dependent Variable: |
|--|---------------------|
|  | Black lynchings     |
|  | (1)                 |
| Percentage of black registered voters in 1867 and 1868 | 0.068***            |
|  | (0.018)             |
| Percentage of black residents in 1860                  | -0.031              |
|  | (0.020)             |
| Constant   | 2.078***            |
|  | (0.574)             |
| State Fixed Effects                                    | Yes                 |
| Number of observations                                 | 375                 |
| R-Squared  | 0.208               |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses. The dependent variable, black lynchings, is the number of lynchings that occurred in a county from 1882-1930 in which the victim was black and the mob was white. Voter registration data come from John Clegg and are based on tables in (Hume & Gough 2008). The percentage of black residents in 1860 come from the 1860 Census. Note that free colored and slave populations are used to compute the number of black residents.

| Table B2  |
|---|
| The Association between Lynching Rates and Black Voter Registration Rates |
| Normalizing with Different Years  |

|                               | Depende | nt Variable: | Black Voter Registration Rate |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                               | (1)     | (2)          | (3)                           |
| Black lynching rate (in 1910) | -0.458  |              |                               |
|                               | (0.068) |              |                               |
| Black lynching rate (in 1920) |         | -0.088       |                               |
|                               |         | (0.040)      |                               |
| Black lynching rate (in 1930) |         |              | -0.042                        |
|                               |         |              | (0.015)                       |
| Historical Controls           | Yes     | Yes          | Yes                           |
| State Fixed Effects           | Yes     | Yes          | Yes                           |
| Number of observations        | 256     | 256          | 256                           |
| R-Squared                     | 0.552   | 0.535        | 0.531                         |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1910, 1920, or 1930. The dependent variable, black registered voters rate, is the percentage of black registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Election per black voting age population. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.

| Table B3  |
|---|
| The Association between Lynching Rates and Black Voter Registration Rates |
| (Rates Converted)   |

|                        | Dependent Variable: Black  | Voter Registration Rate |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
|                        | Black reg converted to 100 | Black reg less than 100 |
| Black lynching rate    | -0.506                     | -0.493                  |
|                        | (0.192)                    | (0.196)                 |
| Historical Controls    | Yes                        | Yes                     |
| State Fixed Effects    | Yes                        | Yes                     |
| Number of observations | 256                        | 248                     |
| R-Squared              | 0.582                      | 0.584                   |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. The dependent variable, black registered voters rate, is the percentage of black registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Election per black voting age population. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.

Table B4The Association between Executions Rates and Black Voter Registration Rates

|                                  | Dependent Variable: Black Voter Registration Rate |
|----------------------------------|---|
|                                  | (1)   |
| Black execution rate (1882-1930) | -0.163  |
|                                  | (0.244)   |
| Historical Controls              | Yes   |
| State Fixed Effects              | Yes   |
| Number of observations           | 256   |
| R-Squared                        | 0.522   |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black execution rate is the number of black executions in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. The dependent variable, black registered voters rate, is the (averaged) percentage of black registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Election per black voting age population. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.

## Table B5

The Association between Lynching Rates and Black Voter Registration Rates (EJI

Data)

|  | Dependent Variable: Black Voter Registration Rate |
|--|---|
|  | (1)   |
| Black lynching rate (Stevenson's data) | -0.288  |
|  | (0.116)   |
| Historical Controls                    | Yes   |
| State Fixed Effects                    | Yes   |
| Number of observations                 | 256   |
| R-Squared                              | 0.535   |

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. The lynching data are obtained from the Equal Justice Initiative and contain the number of lynchings from 1877-1950. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1877-1950 per 10,000 black population in 1900. The dependent variable, black registered voters rate, is the percentage of black registered voters in the 2000, 2004, 2008 or the 2012 Presidential Election per black voting age population. See Table 1 for a complete list of data sources.

Table B6Using Selection on Observables to Access the Bias from Unobservables

| (1)                | (2)               | (3)                     | (4)  |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--|
| No controls effect | Controlled effect | Coeff. set from psacalc | Ratio: $\frac{\beta^F}{(\beta^R - \beta^F)}$ |
| -0.666             | -0.397            | [-1.088, -0.397]        | 3.179  |
| (0.183)[0.498]     | (0.169)[0.656]    |                         |  |

Notes: Column (1) shows the coefficient from the model that includes no controls (together with standard errors in parentheses and R-squared in brackets). Column (2) shows the coefficient for the model that includes all explanatory variables. Columns (1) and (2) both include state fixed effects. Column (3) reports the identified set using psacalc provided by Oster (2017). The identified set displays the main coefficient of interest, lynching rate, using psacalc for the model with no controls and the model with all explanatory variables respectively and excludes zero. Column (4) shows the ratio building from Altonji et al. (2005). See Table 1 for a full description of controls.

|                                  | (1)           | (2)           | (3)     | (4)      | (5)     | (6)      | (7)      |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Out-Migrants vs. Stayers         | Earnings 1928 | Earnings 1960 | Age     | Own Home | School  | Literate | Employed |
| Outmigrant × Black lynching rate | -0.009        | -0.007        | 0.200   | -0.008   | 0.002   | 0.015    | 0.002    |
|                                  | (0.007)       | (0.009)       | (0.169) | (0.005)  | (0.008) | (0.007)  | (0.007)  |
| Outmigrant                       | 0.056         | 0.084         | -2.249  | 0.099    | -0.036  | -0.058   | 0.082    |
|                                  | (0.030)       | (0.043)       | (0.750) | (0.031)  | (0.042) | (0.033)  | (0.037)  |
| Black lynching rate              | 0.003         | 0.009         | -0.066  | 0.006    | 0.005   | -0.004   | 0.002    |
|                                  | (0.005)       | (0.006)       | (0.081) | (0.003)  | (0.005) | (0.003)  | (0.005)  |
| Historical Controls              | Yes           | Yes           | Yes     | Yes      | Yes     | Yes      | Yes      |
| State Fixed Effects              | Yes           | Yes           | Yes     | Yes      | Yes     | Yes      | Yes      |
| Number of observations           | 1,167         | 888           | 2,027   | 2,027    | 1,344   | 2,027    | 1,189    |
| R-Squared                        | 0.131         | 0.165         | 0.020   | 0.019    | 0.025   | 0.009    | 0.031    |

| Table B7   |
|--|
| Lynching Rates and Differences in Attributes between Migrants and Non-migrants |
| Linked Census Data (1910 to 1930)  |

50

Notes: Standard errors are in parentheses and are clustered at the county level. The black lynching rate is the number of black lynchings in a county from 1882-1930 per 10,000 black population in 1900. Data on migrants come from Collins & Wanamaker (2014). This data link southern black male migrants and non-migrants from the 1910 Census to the 1930 Census. The dependent variables are as follows: Column (1) real earnings score based on Lebergott (1964), Column (2) real earnings score based on IPUMS (1960), Column (3) age, Column (4) indicator for owning a home, Column (5) indicator for attending school, Column (6) literacy indicator, and Column (7) employment indicator. Dependent variables are based on information contained in the 1910 Census.